

ORACLE

# MySQL HeatWave AutoML

---

In-database machine learning with MySQL  
HeatWave

Copyright © 2025, Oracle and/or its affiliates  
Public

## Purpose statement

This document provides an overview of features and enhancements included in Oracle MySQL HeatWave AutoML. It is intended solely to help you assess the benefits of MySQL HeatWave AutoML and to plan your I.T. projects.

## Disclaimer

This document in any form, software or printed matter, contains proprietary information that is the exclusive property of Oracle. Your access to and use of this confidential material is subject to the terms and conditions of your Oracle software license and service agreement, which has been executed and with which you agree to comply. This document and information contained herein may not be disclosed, copied, reproduced or distributed to anyone outside Oracle without prior written consent of Oracle. This document is not part of your license agreement, nor can it be incorporated into any contractual agreement with Oracle or its subsidiaries or affiliates.

This document is for informational purposes only and is intended solely to assist you in planning for the implementation and upgrade of the product features described. It is not a commitment to deliver any material, code, or functionality, and should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions. The development, release, and timing of any features or functionality described in this document remains at the sole discretion of Oracle. Due to the nature of the product architecture, it may not be possible to safely include all features described in this document without risking significant destabilization of the code.

Benchmark queries are derived from the TPC-H benchmark, but results are not comparable to published TPC-H benchmark results since they do not comply with the TPC-H specification.

<b>Table of contents</b>	
<b>Purpose statement</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Disclaimer</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Current challenges of ML in databases</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>MySQL HeatWave AutoML</b>	<b>4</b>
Building ML models on data stored in object storage	5
Synergy of built-in GenAI and ML	5
Technology Background	6
Security benefits	6
Performance and Scalability	7
Explainability	7
<b>Model management and use</b>	<b>8</b>
Create model	8
Load and invoke model	9
Check model quality	11
Data drift detection	12
<b>Time Series Forecasting</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Unsupervised Anomaly Detection</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Recommender system</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Track the progress of training, inference, and explanations</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Interactive Console</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Integration with interactive development tools</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Performance comparison</b>	<b>16</b>
Classification comparison	16
Regression comparison	17
Scalability comparison	17
<b>Cost comparison</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Resources</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>20</b>

## Executive Summary

Artificial intelligence and machine learning (ML) have become pervasive technologies. End users now expect such capabilities in both enterprise and consumer products. The pace of innovation has given birth to multiple frameworks, techniques, and algorithms—requiring highly skilled data scientists and machine learning professionals who can apply them. Given the shortage of AI experts, it has become paramount to develop technologies that enable citizen data scientists/business users to leverage ML.

Generative AI and vector stores can complement traditional AI and ML. AI platforms supporting both traditional AI/ML as well as Generative AI can allow customers to benefit from synergies between them and create new classes of applications.

MySQL HeatWave uniquely integrates OLTP, lakehouse-scale analytics, machine learning, and Generative AI in a single solution, enabling turnkey application development with enhanced performance and enterprise-grade data security. MySQL HeatWave users can combine machine learning and generative AI with other MySQL HeatWave built-in capabilities, such as transaction processing and analytics across data warehouses and data lakes, to create powerful and novel applications delivering more relevant and insightful responses—without the complexity, latency, risks, and cost of extract, transform, and load (ETL) duplication.

## Current challenges of ML in databases

Developing and using machine-learning models requires skill sets in topics like:

- Candidate algorithms/model type selection.
- Hyperparameters tuning per algorithm.
- Feature engineering.
- Data preprocessing approach per data type.
- Drift detection and retraining.
- Knowledge of Python, as most ML algorithm frameworks are available only in Python.

The current approach to use machine learning typically requires users to perform ETL (Extract, Transform, Load) on the data stored in files and in databases. Users must learn and use third-party tools and libraries to train a model and then perform inference and explanations. In addition to being onerous and time consuming, this process can also proliferate data outside of the database, creating data security and governance risks.

## MySQL HeatWave AutoML

MySQL HeatWave AutoML enables users to train a model and generate inferences and explanations on data in object storage as well as in MySQL Database. It provides several advantages:

- **Fully Automated:** MySQL HeatWave AutoML fully automates the creation of tuned models, generating inferences and explanations, thus eliminating the need be an expert ML developer.
- **SQL interface:** Provides the familiar MySQL interface for invoking machine learning capabilities.

- **In-database machine learning:** Data and models always stay in-database, reducing security risks. No need to move the data via complex ETL processes nor to use third-party libraries, simplifying data management.
- **Explanations:** All models created by MySQL HeatWave AutoML can be explained. Enterprises have a growing need to explain the predictions of machine learning models to build trust, demonstrate fairness, and comply with regulatory requirements.
- **Performance and Scalability:** The performance of MySQL HeatWave AutoML is much better at a lower cost than competing services such as Redshift ML. Furthermore, MySQL HeatWave AutoML scales with the size of the cluster.
- **Easy Upgrades:** MySQL HeatWave AutoML leverages state-of-the-art open-source Python ML packages that enable continual and swift uptake of newer (and improved) versions.

All these capabilities are available to MySQL HeatWave customers **at no additional cost**.

### Building ML models on data stored in object storage

MySQL HeatWave Lakehouse supercharges MySQL HeatWave AutoML by enabling machine learning operations – such as training, prediction, and explanation – on data either in object storage or in MySQL Database. All machine learning tasks can be performed on collections of files that are easy to organize, visualize, and understand. This ability to use content from varied sources simplifies machine learning tasks.





Figure 1 : Building ML models on data stored in object storage and in the database

### Synergy of built-in GenAI and ML

The combination of AutoML, GenAI, and vector store, all within the database, delivers more value to customers. It helps reduce costs and get more accurate results faster. For instance, AutoML excels at rapidly identifying hidden patterns in structured data and acts as a filter for data that is then processed by GenAI.



## Technology Background

MySQL HeatWave AutoML leverages Oracle AutoML [1], which automates the task of generating models. It replaces the laborious and time-consuming tasks that a data scientist typically performs, as listed below:

1. Preprocess the data.
2. Select an algorithm from a set of algorithms to create a model.
3. Select a suitable, representative sample of data.
4. Select only the relevant features to speed up the pipeline and reduce overfitting.
5. Tune the hyperparameters.
6. Ensure the model performs well on unseen data (also called generalization).




Figure 2: Machine learning pipeline automated by MySQL HeatWave AutoML

Oracle AutoML has a scalable design, minimizes the number of trials by extensive use of meta-learning, and provides an optimal model given a time budget. This proven technology has been integrated in various Oracle products, including the OCI Data Science Service and Oracle Database.

## Security benefits

MySQL HeatWave AutoML performs ML model training, inference, and explanations on the data in object storage and in MySQL Database, without the data ever leaving MySQL HeatWave. All data and operations are executed in memory within the MySQL HeatWave cluster and the trained model is automatically stored in the MySQL database without any user data or model being transmitted to the client. The fully automated

nature of the approach reduces the risk of human errors affecting security or computation.




Figure 3: OLTP, OLAP, and ML workloads in a single database

### Performance and Scalability

MySQL HeatWave AutoML is designed for high performance and scalability. High performance is achieved by the automated machine learning pipeline that consists of a novel non-iterative architecture comprised of multiple sequential stages. This design speeds up the pipeline as every stage's decision is made in a feed-forward manner. Key to the design is the reliance on *proxy models*—fast-performing models that are indicative of the performance of the final tuned model on a subset of a dataset. Furthermore, the algorithm selection stage at the beginning of the pipeline ensures that the downstream algorithm-dependent stages perform well without the need to iterate over multiple algorithms.

The MySQL HeatWave AutoML pipeline is designed in a flexible and highly parallel fashion, enabling us to distribute individual model fits and multiple parallel fits to all available compute nodes on a given MySQL HeatWave cluster. MySQL HeatWave AutoML has been optimized for both intra- and inter-model parallelism to achieve optimal performance on MySQL HeatWave cluster nodes. MySQL HeatWave AutoML can scale to dozens of MySQL HeatWave nodes (hundreds of cores), significantly reducing the ML training runtime as the cluster scales up. Furthermore, as training data size grows, users can scale up the cluster size to minimize the increase in training time.

### Explainability

The integrated explainability module of MySQL HeatWave AutoML helps users understand and interpret the model and its predictions.




Figure 4: Model development and deployment

Deriving insights from the data and model helps users answer questions around what factors matter most, why the model performs the way it does, and how it can be improved.

## Model management and use

Once the MySQL HeatWave cluster has been provisioned and data is loaded into MySQL HeatWave, users can create the model, deploy the model, and use it to create predictions and explanations. Periodically, users will also check the model quality. If model drift (which we subsequently discuss in more details in this document) is detected, users can check the results of model explanation and recreate the model using more recent data and new data features.




Figure 5: Model lifecycle

### Create model

MySQL HeatWave AutoML supports multiple model types such as Classification, Regression, Time Series Forecasting, Anomaly Detection, Recommender System etc. Based on the problem at hand, users must select the appropriate model type.

MySQL HeatWave AutoML handles data preprocessing as part of the model creation, however, users must conduct due diligence to provide a comprehensive dataset.

Once the key data attributes are identified, users need to consolidate the data in a train table and invoke the `sys.ML_TRAIN` procedure to create a trained model as well as an optimized explainer model (which can provide insight into the model's behavior).

*Example:*

```
mysql> CALL sys.ML_TRAIN('mlcorpus.census_train', 'revenue',  
JSON_OBJECT('task', 'classification'), @model);  
mysql> CALL sys.ML_TRAIN('mlcorpus.boston_train', 'target',  
JSON_OBJECT('task', 'regression'), @boston_model)
```

### Model catalog

The model catalog is a table (`MODEL_CATALOG`) within the user schema (`ML_SCHEMA_<current username>`) created by `ML_TRAIN` if it does not already exist. The model catalog stores all models trained during `ML_TRAIN` and each model

becomes a row in the MODEL\_CATALOG table. The model catalog makes ML models first-class citizens of the database, enabling them to be backed up, restored, encrypted, and follow other database procedures and protocols that regular database tables provide. The catalog also helps with the sharing of models between multiple users as owners can control access and rights to their tables.

## Load and invoke model

### Load and unload model

The models stored in the model catalog must be loaded in memory before they can be used with the ML\_MODEL\_LOAD routine. A model remains loaded until it is unloaded using the ML\_MODEL\_UNLOAD routine or until the MySQL HeatWave AutoML driver is restarted. It is important to unload models that are not needed to run MySQL HeatWave AutoML effectively and free up the memory.

*Example: Load model*

```
mysql> CALL sys.ML_MODEL_LOAD(@model, NULL);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (1.12 sec)
```

*Example: Unload model*

```
mysql> CALL sys.ML_MODEL_UNLOAD(@model);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (1.12 sec)
```

### Prediction on a row

Users can predict the outcome for a specific row using the ML\_PREDICT\_ROW function. The sys.ML\_PREDICT\_ROW is a stored function that runs in-line inference on a single row of data using a previously trained model. The user provides the input row of data ,in the JSON format, for which the prediction is performed using the trained model object.

*Example: Predict row*

```
mysql> SELECT sys.ML_PREDICT_ROW('{"index": 1,"age":
38,"workclass": "Private","fnlwgt": 89814,"education": "HS-
grad","education-num": 9,"marital-status": "Married-civ-
spouse","occupation": "Farming-fishing","relationship":
"Husband","race": "White","sex": "Male","capital-gain":
0,"capital-loss": 0,"hours-per-week": 50,"native-country":
"United-States"}', @model);
|
+-----+
| {"age": 38, "sex": "Male", "race": "White", "index": 1,
"fnlwgt": 89814, "education": "HS-grad", "workclass": "Private",
"Prediction": "<=50K", "occupation": "Farming-fishing",
"capital-gain": 0, "capital-loss": 0, "relationship": "Husband",
"education-num": 9, "hours-per-week": 50, "marital-status":
"Married-civ-spouse", "native-country": "United-States"} |
+-----+
1 row in set (2.36 sec)
```



```
"capital-loss_attribution": 0.0, "relationship_attribution": 0.0928,
"education-num_attribution": 0.1305, "hours-per-week_attribution":
0.1806, "marital-status_attribution": 0.0676, "native-
country_attribution": 0.0001} |
+-----+
1 row in set (4.41 sec)
```

### Explain prediction on a table

The `sys.ML_EXPLAIN_TABLE` creates and populates a new table with features, predictions, and explanations for each row of the input table. Explanations across rows are completed in parallel. The loaded model's training columns must match the `ML_EXPLAIN_TABLE` input columns.

#### Example: Explain prediction on a table

```
mysql> CALL sys.ML_EXPLAIN_TABLE('mlcorpus_v4.census_test_naive',
@model, 'mlcorpus_v4.census_explanations');
Query OK, 0 rows affected (12.95 sec)
```

```
mysql> SELECT `index`, `education-num` AS education_level, `hours-
per-week` AS hours_per_week, Prediction, `education-num_attribution`
AS education_level_attr, `hours-per-week_attribution` AS
hours_per_week_attr FROM census_explanations;
```

```
+-----+
| index | education_level | hours_per_week | Prediction | education_level_attr | hours_per_week_attr |
+-----+
| 0 | 7 | 40 | <=50K | -0.001 | -0.002 |
| 1 | 9 | 50 | <=50K | -0.1307 | -0.1807 |
| 2 | 12 | 40 | <=50K | -0.2435 | -0.2101 |
| 3 | 10 | 40 | >50K | 0.007 | 0.0053 |
| 4 | 10 | 30 | <=50K | 0.0007 | -0.0002 |
+-----+
5 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

### Check model quality

The `sys.ML_SCORE` procedure computes the model quality by generating predictions on given test data and comparing it to the ground truth labels. The `ML_SCORE` API requires a string argument that specifies the scoring metric to be used. MySQL HeatWave AutoML supports multiple standard scoring metrics, as described here for classification and regression.

#### Example:

```
mysql> CALL sys.ML_SCORE('mlcorpus_v4.census_test', 'revenue',
@model, 'balanced_accuracy', @score);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (5.34 sec)
```

```
mysql> SELECT @score;
+-----+
| @score |
```

```
+-----+
| 0.7961280941963196 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.00 sec)
```

## Data drift detection

ML models can become outdated over time and lose their ability to predict accurately. This may happen due to data drift, whereby distribution of input data changes overtime and a model trained on older data can no longer predict accurately. It is important to be able to measure the data drift and then retrain the model as needed on the latest data so that the model continues to predict accurately.

MySQL HeatWave AutoML provides functionality to detect data drift using a drift detector trained during model training. Metrics such as mean and variance for the model features are computed during model training and stored in the model catalog. At the time of inference, a user can ask the trained detector to evaluate each sample's drift level. The drift value can be evaluated across all samples and features or for a specific feature. It reveals the top three features with the highest feature drift. This information can be used to trigger model re-training if the samples have drifted beyond a preset threshold.

## Time Series Forecasting

Time series forecasting involves using time ordered events from the past as well as other variables to predict future values.

While analyzing time series, it's important to exploit temporal dependency and internal structure with elements such as seasonality, trend, and residual. There are several time series forecasting algorithms, each best suited to a varying degree of strength of basic time-series characteristics. The choice of the optimal algorithm usually requires a statistician trained in time-series analysis for effective forecasting. Given the complexity involved, an automated approach for time series forecasting is highly desirable.

MySQL HeatWave AutoML offers a fully automated forecasting pipeline that can automatically preprocess, select the best algorithm, and tune its hyperparameters for a given time-series dataset, resulting in unmatched model training performance and high forecasting accuracy.




Figure 6 : MySQL HeatWave AutoML forecasting pipeline

The MySQL HeatWave AutoML automated forecasting pipeline uses a patented technique that consists of stages such as advanced time-series preprocessing, algorithm selection, and hyper parameter tuning. The advanced time-series stage prunes the search space and estimates basic time-series characteristics (seasonality, trend etc.). These estimates are used later for the algorithm selection and hyperparameter tuning stages. The algorithm selection stage estimates the best algorithm for a given time-series dataset from the set of supported algorithms. The hyperparameter tuning stage tunes the hyperparameters for the algorithm in a range suggested by the preprocessor. This results in significant speedup by reducing the number of trials and improves the generalization of tuned models.

Users can get predictions and can specify a confidence level at which the upper and lower bounds of forecasted outcome can be generated.

## Unsupervised Anomaly Detection

Anomaly detection is a technique for finding unusual patterns in data. It has found applications in a wide variety of fields, including fraud detection, network intrusion detection, detecting life-threatening medical conditions, quality control etc.

Anomaly detection is particularly challenging because of issues such as lack of labelled data, the need for different algorithms to address various types of anomalies, and the unbalanced nature of data given that, by definition, anomalies are rare.

MySQL HeatWave AutoML detects anomalies in unlabeled data using a novel and patented technique called Generalized kth Nearest Neighbors (GkNN), which is based on a single ensemble algorithm that does not require tuning of hyperparameters. It identifies common types of anomalies such as local, global, and clustered, which typically require separate algorithms. It provides high performance on the Unsupervised Anomaly Detection Benchmark (UADB) datasets, outperforming some of the most widely utilized algorithms such as k-th Nearest Neighbor (kNN) and Local Outlier Factor (LOF). In addition to GkNN, MySQL HeatWave AutoML supports two additional algorithms: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Generalized Local Outlier Factor (GLOF), a proprietary algorithm. These algorithms provide added ability

to detect anomalies and users can provide options in the ML\_TRAIN procedure to use PCA and GLOF algorithms.

MySQL HeatWave AutoML supports anomaly detection in a fully automated way so that the user does not need to select a specific algorithm to address a particular type of anomaly. This drastically improves performance given that MySQL HeatWave AutoML does not need to evaluate different types of algorithms based on the anomaly type, unlike other approaches to anomaly detection.

Anomaly Type/Technique	MySQL HeatWave	KNN	LoF
Local	✓	✓	✓
Global	✓	✓	✓
Cluster	✓	✓	✓

None of the competing products such as Google BigQuery ML, Redshift ML or Snowflake offers a fully automated solution for anomaly detection as MySQL HeatWave AutoML does.

## Recommender system

Recommender systems (also known as ‘recommendation systems’) are commonly used in e-commerce to recommend new products to users based on their prior history and preferences. The concept behind recommendation systems is finding patterns in consumer behavior to predict users’ preferences, even before they have interacted with the product.

The MySQL HeatWave AutoML Recommender System leverages models based on collaborative filtering methods. These models are trained uniquely on past user-item interactions. The recommender system supports recommendations based on both explicit and implicit feedback.

- **Explicit Feedback:** If the data is composed of ratings directly provided by the users, then it is categorized as explicit feedback. The user ratings can be positive or negative. MySQL HeatWave AutoML uses a variety of models for explicit feedback, including NormalPredictor, Baseline, Slopeone, CoClustering, SVD, SVDpp, and NMF.
- **Implicit Feedback:** If the data contains information produced from user behavior like clicks and purchases, this is considered implicit feedback. This type of data is more widespread, as the user does not have to explicitly express their taste about the item.

MySQL HeatWave AutoML supports the following types of recommendations:

- Items that the user will like
- Users who will like an item
- User ratings of an item
- Identify similar users
- Identify similar items

## Track the progress of training, inference, and explanations

MySQL HeatWave AutoML progress tracking can be used to monitor the progress of training, inference, and explanations for MySQL HeatWave AutoML. The goal of the progress tracker is to provide visibility to the end user on the execution status of the MySQL HeatWave AutoML operations; for example, how an operation has progressed including which stages have been completed, any error that has occurred during the operation, and whether the operation has been aborted.

The progress tracker can be invoked on MySQL HeatWave AutoML using SQL queries. To initiate progress tracking, the user needs to open two MySQL client terminals. The first terminal is used to start the machine learning query, while the second terminal is used to monitor the progress of the operation.

## Interactive Console

The interactive console for MySQL HeatWave, and MySQL HeatWave AutoML, is an integrated environment that provides users the ability to manage the database schema objects, run interactive queries, monitor performance, and use machine learning capabilities such that a business analyst can easily develop applications, manage data objects, and machine learning models. Users can train machine learning models, score, and explain them, run predictions and “What-If2 scenarios to evaluate the impact of feature changes on model outcomes. The console is currently available for MySQL HeatWave on AWS.

Scenario analysis - Users can change the values of certain features of a data record and compare the model outcome with the original values (aka baseline).




Figure 7 : What-if analysis using interactive console

## Integration with interactive development tools

A user can easily connect to MySQL HeatWave from interactive notebook environments such as Jupyter and Apache Zeppelin and run transactional, analytics, and machine learning queries. Users can also leverage various features available for

numeric computations, data processing, data visualization and more using their language of choice.




Figure 8: Invoke MySQL HeatWave AutoML from a notebook environment

## Performance comparison

We ran benchmarks on several datasets relevant to enterprise use cases, and compared the performance of training time, quality of models, and scalability with Redshift ML. This was done for classification and regression datasets.

### Classification comparison

The table below compares the balanced accuracy and training times of MySQL HeatWave AutoML vs. Redshift ML. In some cases, Redshift ML was around 200x slower than MySQL HeatWave AutoML. We used geometric mean for the comparison to dampen the effect of these outliers. The geometric mean average indicates that MySQL HeatWave AutoML's training time is ~25x faster than Redshift ML and has slightly better accuracy.

This significant improvement in performance enables users to retrain models more frequently to improve prediction accuracy.

Dataset	Accuracy		Training Time (minutes)		Speedup	Cost (\$)		Cheaper
	Redshift ML	MySQL HeatWave AutoML	Redshift ML (MAX_CELLS: 1M, MAX_RUNTIME: 5400s)	MySQL HeatWave AutoML (2 nodes)		Redshift ML 1 year plan	HeatWave ML	
Airlines	0.5	0.6524	90.00	2.71	33.21	6.23	0.0479	130.03
Bank	0.8378	0.7115	90.00	3.72	24.19	5.68	0.0658	86.30
CNAE-9	X	0.9167	X	5.91	X	X	0.1045	X
Connect-4	0.6752	0.6970	90.00	7.13	12.62	6.18	0.1261	49.05
Fashion MNIST	X	0.9073	X	181.85	X	X	3.2151	X
Nomao	0.9512	0.9602	90.00	3.30	27.27	5.96	0.0583	102.14
Numerai	0.5	0.5184	90.00	0.34	264.71	5.49	0.0060	913.49
Higgs	0.5	0.758	90.00	68.58	1.31	7.27	1.2125	5.99
Census	0.7985	0.7946	90.00	1.22	73.77	6.12	0.0216	283.95
Titanic	0.9571	0.7660	90.00	0.47	191.49	5.60	0.0083	674.32
CC Fraud	0.9154	0.9256	90.00	29.06	3.10	6.70	0.5138	13.03
KDD Cup	X	0.5	X	3.55	X	X	0.0628	X
<b>GEOMEAN</b>	<b>0.712</b>	<b>0.754</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>3.561</b>	<b>25.271</b>	<b>6.115</b>	<b>0.063</b>	<b>97.129</b>

Figure 9: Accuracy and training time comparison

### Regression comparison

The below table compares the r2 values and training times with Redshift ML. Using the geometric mean average, the results indicate that MySQL HeatWave AutoML's training time is ~25x faster than Redshift ML for comparable accuracy.

Dataset	Accuracy		Training Time (minutes)		Speedup	Cost (\$)		Cheaper
	Redshift ML	MySQL HeatWave AutoML	Redshift ML (MAX_CELLS: 1M, MAX_RUNTIME: 5400s)	MySQL HeatWave AutoML (2 nodes)		Redshift ML 1 year plan	HeatWave ML	
Black Friday	0.54	0.53	90.00	1.14	78.80	2.95	0.02	146.10
Diamonds	0.98	0.98	90.00	2.40	37.42	5.13	0.04	120.61
Mercedes	X	0.61	X	1.16	X	X	0.02	X
News Popularity	0.02	0.01	90.00	0.60	149.13	4.15	0.01	389.08
NYC_taxi	0.19	0.25	90.00	7.34	12.26	2.82	0.13	21.76
Twitter	0.88	0.93	90.00	44.24	2.03	3.64	0.78	4.66
<b>GEOMEAN</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>3.52</b>	<b>25.58</b>	<b>3.64</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>58.66</b>

Figure 10: Accuracy and training time comparison

### Scalability comparison

The plot below shows the impact of adding more nodes to MySQL HeatWave AutoML (i.e. from 1 through 16 nodes) on total training time as well as the time consumed by key training pipeline components for the Higgs data set. There are two key takeaways from this chart:

- The most time consuming stages of the MySQL HeatWave AutoML training pipeline typically accelerate the most with a larger cluster.
- The total training time was reduced from 7108 seconds to 1208 seconds as the cluster size was increased from 1 to 16 nodes.




Figure 11: Impact of larger cluster on ML pipeline

- MS – Model Selection stage selects an algorithm
- ADS – Adaptive Data Sampling stage selects an optimal number of rows for the remainder of stages
- FS – Feature Selection stage selects the relevant subset of features
- AT – Autotune stage is the hyperparameter optimization stage that tunes selected algorithm's hyperparameters
- FFit – Final Fit stage fits the tuned algorithm on the full dataset that includes all rows
- Gexp – Global Explainer training stage explains the model
- Overhead – end-to-end ML\_TRAIN overhead time includes transfer of the dataset to cluster, initialization, and completion time
- e2e\_mltrain – end-to-end total ML\_TRAIN time from MySQL client perspective.

Secondly, we compared the scalability of MySQL HeatWave AutoML with Redshift ML




Figure 12: Training speedup comparison with larger clusters

## Cost comparison

For customers of MySQL HeatWave, there is no additional cost for using MySQL HeatWave AutoML. Customers only pay for the provisioned cluster, as opposed to

other services like Redshift ML where customers are charged for the use of SageMaker and S3 storage.

Note that for Redshift ML, we do not calculate the costs based on Redshift ML documentation [5] under “Redshift ML pricing” section, as the actual cost incurred during training are significantly different from the documented pricing. We calculated the cost based on the instance shape and runtime of the SageMaker instance that was invoked by Redshift ML.

Compared to Redshift ML, MySQL HeatWave AutoML is 97x cheaper in classification tests and 58.7x cheaper in regression tests.

Dataset	Cost \$		Cheaper
	Redshift ML 1 year plan (MAX_CELLS: 1M, MAX_RUNTIME: 5400s)	MySQL HeatWave AutoML (2 nodes)	
Airlines	6.23	0.0479	130.03
Bank	5.68	0.0658	86.30
CNAE-9	X	0.1045	X
Connect-4	6.18	0.1261	49.05
Fashion MNIST	X	3.2151	X
Nomao	5.96	0.0583	102.14
Numerai	5.49	0.0060	913.49
Higgs	7.27	1.2125	5.99
Census	6.12	0.0216	283.95
Titanic	5.60	0.0083	674.32
CC Fraud	6.70	0.5138	13.03
KDD Cup	X	0.0628	X
<b>GEOMEAN</b>	6.115	0.063	<b>97.129</b>

Figure 13 : Cost comparison for classification models

Dataset	Cost \$		Cheaper
	Redshift ML 1 year plan (MAX_CELLS: 1M, MAX_RUNTIME: 5400s)	MySQL HeatWave AutoML (2 nodes)	
<b>Black Friday</b>	2.95	0.02	<b>146.10</b>
<b>Diamonds</b>	5.13	0.04	<b>120.61</b>
<b>Mercedes</b>	X	0.02	<b>X</b>
<b>News Popularity</b>	4.15	0.01	<b>389.08</b>
<b>NYC_taxi</b>	2.82	0.13	<b>21.76</b>
<b>Twitter</b>	3.64	0.78	<b>4.66</b>
<b>GEOMEAN</b>	3.64	0.06	<b>58.66</b>

Figure 14 : Cost comparison for regression datasets

## Conclusion

MySQL HeatWave supports OLTP, real-time analytics across data warehouse and data lake, machine learning, and generative AI with vector store in one fully managed cloud service—eliminating the complex, time-consuming, and expensive ETL to separate services. Customers can leverage the synergy between GenAI and ML to derive significant benefits, including reduced cost and improved performance and accuracy.

MySQL HeatWave AutoML, fully automates the creation of tuned ML models, generating inferences and explanations; no need to be an expert. Benchmarks demonstrate that, on average, MySQL HeatWave AutoML produces more accurate results than Amazon Redshift ML, trains models up to 25X faster at 1% of the cost, and

scales as more nodes are added. MySQL HeatWave AutoML is available at no additional cost to MySQL HeatWave customers.

## Resources

Learn more about MySQL HeatWave AutoML:

<https://www.oracle.com/heatwave/automl/>

Learn more about MySQL HeatWave: <https://www.oracle.com/heatwave>

Try MySQL HeatWave for free; <https://www.oracle.com/heatwave/free>

## References

- [1] Anatoly Yakovlev, Hesam Fathi Moghadam, Ali Moharrer, Jingxiao Cai, Nikan Chavoshi, Venkatanathan Varadarajan, Sandeep R. Agrawal, Sam Idicula, Tomas Karnagel, Sanjay Jinturkar, and Nipun Agarwal. 2020. Oracle AutoML: a fast and predictive AutoML pipeline. <i>Proc. VLDB Endow.</i> 13, 12 (August 2020), 3166–3180. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.14778/3415478.3415542>
- [2] [https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.balanced\\_accuracy\\_score.html](https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.balanced_accuracy_score.html)
- [3] [https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.r2\\_score.html](https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.r2_score.html)
- [4] <https://aws.amazon.com/savingsplans/ml-pricing/>
- [5] <https://aws.amazon.com/redshift/pricing/>

---

## Connect with us

Call +1.800.ORACLE1 or visit [oracle.com](https://www.oracle.com). Outside North America, find your local office at: [oracle.com/contact](https://www.oracle.com/contact).

 [blogs.oracle.com](https://blogs.oracle.com)

 [facebook.com/oracle](https://facebook.com/oracle)

 [twitter.com/oracle](https://twitter.com/oracle)

---

Copyright © 2025, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. This document is provided for information purposes only, and the contents hereof are subject to change without notice. This document is not warranted to be error-free, nor subject to any other warranties or conditions, whether expressed orally or implied in law, including implied warranties and conditions of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. We specifically disclaim any liability with respect to this document, and no contractual obligations are formed either directly or indirectly by this document. This document may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, for any purpose, without our prior written permission.

Oracle, Java, and MySQL are registered trademarks of Oracle and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Benchmark queries are derived from TPC-H benchmark, but results are not comparable to published TPC-H benchmark results since they do not comply with TPC-H specification.