



January 2016

Scalability and Performance

Oracle WebCenter Portal®

Goal

This white paper provides benchmark results for Oracle WebCenter Portal 12.2.1.0. These results are meant to assist readers in sizing hardware requirements for their own deployments.

Executive Summary

Customers have frequently requested WebCenter Portal performance benchmarking to assist them with:

1. Determining hardware requirements for a particular deployment.
2. Assessing hardware requirements for a given number of users.

In the absence of benchmarking, customers have had to adopt a size-by-experience methodology or rely on a sizing tool, running the risk of the tool's imperfect representation of the customer's specific requirements.

Given the performance improvements introduced in 12.2.1.0 and introduction of WebCenter Content supported Framework folders technology, it's a good time to roll out some reliable performance benchmarks.

We started the performance testing with a size-by-example approach, realizing results would be close to real-world deployment.

A predictably large variation in customer deployments obviates the impossibility of a one-size-fits-all reference deployment. So we took the approach of testing on a single use case that accurately reflects how that customer uses the product.

The use case was selected to reflect a typical, large, corporate intranet with no integration or services, like Discussion Forums, SOA/BPM, etc.--all dependent on an individual customer's implementation. The focus was the core use case that is common across customers, including page navigation, content updates, and content reads.

This white paper outlines the use case, deployment topology, best practices, configurations/optimizations, and recommended hardware employed in our benchmark testing.

Results are based on varied user loads and common use flows for employee and manager activities on an intranet. We tested against loads of 200, 400, 800, 1600, 2400 and 3200 users. We found that transactions-per-second scaled linearly with the number of users and the number of managed servers. Average server response time increased as the number of users went up.

The TPS per core improved more than 38% and 47% in Oracle WebCenter Portal 12.2.1.0 compared to Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.9.0 release and Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.8.0 release respectively.

The ART improved over 26% in Oracle WebCenter Portal 12.2.1.0 compared to Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.9.0 release to 0.63 sec from 0.85 sec for 1600 users. The ART in Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.9.0 release itself had improved over 60% compared to 11.1.1.8.0 release, hence indicating significant performance gains over Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.8.0 release as well.

The number of concurrent users also increased to 3200 users.

Scope

The scope of this document covers the results from performance benchmarking on a reference deployment of a large corporate intranet created with the 12.2.1.0 release of WebCenter Portal.

Use Case

A corporate intranet provides a landing page with informational articles, announcement, portlets, and similar types of content. The landing page can be viewed by all employees--whether logged in or not. Additionally, each department has its own section that can be accessed and edited only by that line of business (LOB). Only authenticated users (people who are logged in) from a given LOB can create content and branded pages for that LOB. Once logged in, users can see a customized page with various content, documents, and the like.

The Intranet portal setup has a landing page. The preview of the landing page is as indicated below:




Figure 1 - Intranet Landing Page

- Department Specific Site
 - Menu navigation and page content changes as users navigate to a department-specific site. Each LOB has its own document set that is organized into folders and sub-folders.





Figure 2- Department Page

If the user has permissions, s/he can contribute content to the site as well.

- Intranet Portal Structure
 - There are a total of 25 departments in the company.
 - Intranet Portal has a set of 1001 pages per department.
 - Each department has a portal of its own and is represented in the navigation model of the Main Intranet Portal.
 - It is possible to navigate from anywhere in the Departmental Portal to the Main portal.

- Each department has a home page, which is different from the intranet's landing page. It has a different set of pages and navigations.
- Each departmental portal has typically a set of 1001 pages.
- Pages have a page hierarchy. The page hierarchy can be 3 levels deep.
- There are 100K documents in the system. There are 500 docs in one folder and there are 8 such folders in each of the 25 departments.

Document Type	Number of Documents	Size of Each Document
100KB .doc	100	100KB
1000KB .doc	138	1MB
.mp4	25	20MB
.pdf	137	1MB
.xls	100	100KB

- Each document has meta-data attached for searching. We devised some simple metadata fields and values viz. author name, document name, and the like.
- Anonymous Landing page of Intranet Portal
 - Every user lands first on the landing page--the Home Page.
 - The Home Page has a custom look and feel and reflects the company brand.
 - Branding is uniform across the portal.
- Home Page

The home page consists of the following components:


 - Content presenter items that show news articles and industry-relevant topics. Some of these include images.
 - Navigation is both horizontal and vertical. Some links jump to external systems while maintaining the Portal look and feel.
 - Ability to search content in the Portal.
 - It is possible to have multiple horizontal navigation models on the Portal.
 - The user can choose to login to the Portal.
 - Post Login
 - Upon login, the horizontal navigation model changes to reflect the user's access level.
 - The user sees the various departments that s/he is part of.
 - The navigation model reflects the pages contained in the department and the page hierarchy and can contain images.
- Departmental Portals
 - Each department has a portal of its own and is represented in the navigation model of the Main Intranet Portal.
 - It is possible to navigate from anywhere in the Departmental Portal to the Main portal.
 - Each department has a home page which is different from the home page of the Intranet Portal.
 - Each departmental portal has typically a set of ~1001 pages.

- Pages have a page hierarchy. The page hierarchy can be 3 levels deep.
- Hierarchy of Pages
 - Class A
 - Department Home Page
 - Home page consists of navigation to other pages
 - Class B
 - Top level pages of the departmental portal.
 - These pages can also consist of pages similar to Department specific site.
 - Class C
 - These pages can also consist of pages similar to Department specific site.
 - Few pages have content that can be edited inline.
 - Few pages are available to all who belong to the department.
 - Few pages are available only to a few department employees.
 - Remaining pages are accessible to all viz. public pages.
 - Class D
 - Few pages are available to all who belong to the department.
 - Few pages are available to just a few department employees.
 - Content on Class A, B or C or D Page
 - Typically a page would consist of a list of documents, news articles, press releases and images.
 - The content of this is presented using content presenter (a feature WebCenter Portal provides to display content of type news articles, releases or images etc.). For further details, [please refer to WebCenter Portal documentation on Content Presenter](#).
 - Each piece of content rendered by content presenter has text (around 2,200 words), images, and formatting, such as bullets, italics, and the like. Each content set in content presenter is different.
 - Each page has [Content Presenter](#)(s).
 - On an average, each departmental portal contains to 4000 documents.
- These pages are represented via a navigation model (2 level), which further lead to various pages specific to the department.
- Both global and departmental searches are possible. Departmental searches yield results from the user's department; global searches yield results from globally available documents.

Benchmarks Specifics and Methodology

Topology

The following figure shows a representative hardware deployment topology and includes the hardware configuration of each of the nodes running in the system.



Hardware

Topology Details

1. Each Node is a JVM running a managed server.
2. Clustering is done between two physical machines.
3. Internally each of these JVMs are clustered by the managed servers.
4. All setup is in one Network domain.
1. There are 4 WCPortal nodes (Managed Servers) on one physical machine, and 4 more WCPortal nodes on another machine. Hence, there are 8 nodes in 2 physical machines. For 2400 users, its 12 WCPortal nodes each on 2 physical machines and for 3200 users it uses 16 nodes. The scalability test shows each node running 200 concurrent users yields best result on this particular hardware.
5. BigIP was configured as per "Round Robin" algorithm.
6. The Database was NFS Mount.

7. WebCenter Portal is running on Weblogic server.
8. There are 1 WCCContent node on each of 2 physical machines along with WCPortal
9. Two WCCContent nodes are clustered as well and WCPortal communicates with WCCContent through BigIP load balancer, even though they are in the same machine.

This table depicts the hardware running on each physical machine in our topology.

Table 1- Machine Details used in Performance Testing

Hardware details are for 1 physical machine	CPU				Memory	OS	Used As	Local Disk	Type
	Type	Processors	Cores/CPU	Speed					
2 physical machines with 5 JVMs each for WebCenter Portal and 1 JVM each for WebCenter Content	Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2690	32(2 CPU*8 cores*2(Hyper Threaded))	8	2.90 GHz	252 GB	RHEL 5.8	WC Mid-Tier	4.2 TB	Sandy Bridge
WebCenter Portal/WebCenter Content Database	Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU X5670	24(2 CPU*6 cores*2(Hyper Threaded))	6	2.93 GHz	70 GB	RHEL 5.8	Portal/WCC DB	1.8 TB	Westmere-EP
Oracle Internet Directory/DB	Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU X5670	24	6	2.93 GHz	70 GB	RHEL 5.8	OID/OID DB	1.8 TB	Westmere-EP
Oracle Http Server(1)	Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU X5670	24	6	2.93 GHz	70 GB	RHEL 5.8	OHS	1.8 TB	Westmere-EP
Secure Enterprise Search	Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU X5670	24	6	2.93 GHz	70 GB	RHEL 5.8	SES	1.8 TB	Westmere-EP

Software

This table outlines the software we used for testing.

Name	Version
WebCenter Portal	12.2.1.0
WebCenter Content	12.2.1.0
WebCenter Portal/WebCenter Content Database	12.1.0
Oracle Internet Directory/Oracle Internet Directory Database	11.1.1.7.0/11.2.0.4.0

Secure Enterprise Search(SES)	11.2.2.2.0
Oracle Http Server	11.1.1.7.0

Performance Benchmarking Methodology

1. Software installed and configured for optimal performance
2. Executed the user flows in parallel with a base set of users. Increased the number of users until response time goes beyond 3 sec or 80% of CPU utilization.
3. Obtained results.

User Flows

We define "user flows" as typical scenarios a user would normally execute during a work day.

User Flow #1(Employee)

1. Users land on the landing page or home page.
2. User logs in.
3. On login, the user navigates to a home page with additional accessible information or LOB specific information. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
4. User searches for a document using the global search box. The user waits for the search results to appear. Clicks on a result and views it. The user waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
5. User logs out.

User Flow #2(Public Anonymous)

1. User does not log in.
2. The user visits the home page of the Intranet Portal. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
3. The user navigates to visit a particular public page in the Department Portal. The departmental portal is randomly selected. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
4. The user navigates randomly in the page hierarchy. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
5. User performs a departmental search for a document using the Search box. The user waits for the search results to appear. User clicks one of the search results and views it. The user waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
6. User logs out.

User Flow #3(Manager)

1. User logs in to the Home Page.
2. The user visits the public pages in a department. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
3. The user visits pages in that department accessible only to employees belonging to that department. The departmental portal is randomly selected. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
4. The user visits a page in the departmental portal accessible only to that particular user in the department. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.

5. User logs out.

User Flow #4(Admin)

1. User logs in to the Home Page.
2. The user visits the public pages in a department. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
3. The user visits pages in that department accessible only to employees belonging to that department. The departmental portal is randomly selected. User waits on the page for 'x' minutes.
4. The user has privileges to create a page in the department. The user creates a page. The user edits and composes the page. User waits on the page for 'y' minutes.
5. The user also uploads/downloads a document of size 1 MB.
6. User logs out.

*Note that the Oracle WebCenter Content's inbound refinery was not configured on the system and hence instead of viewing the preview of documents, only document properties were viewed.

User Loads

Pre-testing Configuration Steps

1. The scenario was setup.
2. It was validated for a single user run.
3. As per the scenario all the relevant data was examined and manually validated to check if updates are happening on Database and SES.

The distribution of the user flow for 3200 users is:

User Flow	User Type	Number of Users
User Flow #1	Employee	951(~29%)
User Flow #2	Anonymous	1601(~50%)
User Flow #3	Manager	360(~11%)
User Flow #4	Admin	288(~9%)

Note (Refer to above user flows for 'x' and 'y'):

1. 'x' = 1 minute wait on different pages
2. 'y' = 5 minute wait on different pages.
3. In user flows where no wait time is mentioned the wait time is 30 sec.

Benchmarks Results

Test Software

We used OATS for these tests.

Performance Tunings

Following performance tunings were carried out. There were also few bug fixes applied before testing.

1. Think Time = 30s Random Think Time (Between two transactions of the user flow)
2. Cold Session - User login/logout b/w sessions
3. Java(TM) SE Runtime Environment (build 1.8.0_51-b16), Java HotSpot(TM) 64-Bit Server VM (build 25.51-b03, mixed mode)
4. JVM Settings for each node for WCP

```
JAVA_OPTIONS="-Xms18432m -Xmx18432m -Xmn4608m -XX:+UseLargePages -
Djps.auth.debug=false -Djps.subject.cache.key=5 -Djps.policystore.grantee.cache=false -
Doracle.mds.bypassCustRestrict=true -
Dweblogic.security.SSL.minimumProtocolVersion=TLSv1.2 -
XX:+UnlockCommercialFeatures -XX:+FlightRecorder -verbose:gc -XX:+PrintGCDetails -
XX:+PrintGCTimeStamps -
Dweblogic.security.SSL.trustedCAKeyStore="/scratch/psrtest/WCP_12C_21OCT/wlserve
r/server/lib/cacerts" ${JAVA_OPTIONS}"
```

5. OHS Tunings

```
<IfModule mpm_worker_module>
    StartServers      10
    ThreadLimit       50
    ServerLimit       500
    MaxClients        25000
    MinSpareThreads   25
    MaxSpareThreads   75
    ThreadsPerChild   50
</IfModule>
```

6. OJSP cache tunings

```
<init-param>
    <param-name>
        jsp_timeout
    </param-name>
    <param-value>
        3600
    </param-value>
</init-param>
<init-param>
    <param-name>
        jsp_idle_entry_count
    </param-name>
    <param-value>
        2000
    </param-value>
</init-param>
<init-param>
    <param-name>
        jsp_max_entry_count
    </param-name>
    <param-value>
        4000
    </param-value>
</init-param>
```

7. Set MDS Cache with 0 TTL. Change adf/META-INF/adf-config.xml in webcenter.ear

```
<max-size-kb>1000000</max-size-kb>  
<minutes-to-live>0</minutes-to-live>
```

8. Steady state: 30 mins
9. Server logging set to ERROR:1 in logging.xml
10. Session timeout - (default)
11. Heap set to 18 GB
12. 1GB MDS Cache with 0 TTL

13. OJSP cache tunings.

```
<init-param>
  <param-name>
    jsp_timeout
  </param-name>
  <param-value>
    3600 --Previous Value will be 600 change this to 3600
  </param-value>
</init-param>
Add the Below
<init-param>
  <param-name>
    jsp_idle_entry_count
  </param-name>
  <param-value>
    2000
  </param-value>
</init-param>
<init-param>
  <param-name>
    jsp_max_entry_count
  </param-name>
  <param-value>
    4000
  </param-value>
</init-param>
```


14. contentDelivery="lazy" (ADF Parameter to control content delivery)
15. Coherence Cache configured.

Transactions/second (TPS) and CPU Utilization and Memory Utilization



Users / Managed Servers	% CPU Utilization (Portal Server)		TPS(Transactions per second)	Memory (GB)	Average Server Response time(sec)
	Machine 1	Machine 2			
200/1	5.94	NA	4.62	5.35	0.52
400/2	7.07	6.63	9.15	7.57	0.54
800/4	12.99	12.87	18.19	7.62	0.62
1600/8	25.26	25.11	36.07	7.72	0.63
2400/12	36.96	39.80	53.79	7.92	0.67
3200/16	57.60	50.71	71.58	8.23	0.86

For this test, we defined "transaction" as one user click in execution of one operation such as login, navigate from one portal to another, navigate from one page to another in a portal, create a portal, create a page, etc.

The throughput is linear w.r.t the number of users.



To maintain the average server response time scaling up as number of users increase, the number of managed servers is also increased accordingly.



The average server response time is well within 1 sec with the increase in the number of users.

Measurements for User Flows

The following tables illustrate the measurements for various operations involved in the user flows described earlier. The measurements are server side response times only.

User Flow #1: Authenticated Content Access

The following table lists the average server response time in seconds for operations carried out in User flow 1.

Average Server Response time (sec):

Concurrent Users/Managed Servers	200/1	400/2	800/4	1600/8	2400/12	3200/16
Invoke URL	0.283	0.338	0.331	0.418	0.422	0.562
Login page	0.180	0.279	0.294	0.363	0.364	0.466
DoLogin	0.329	0.455	0.532	0.529	0.605	0.731
GotouserLOB	0.394	0.428	0.445	0.488	0.537	0.715
Search Doc	0.483	0.543	0.564	0.619	0.639	0.811
Close	0.457	0.523	0.603	0.696	0.753	1.000

User Flow #2: Public Content Access

The following table lists the average server response time in seconds for operations carried out in User flow 2.

Concurrent Users/Managed Servers	200/1	400/2	800/4	1600/8	2400/12	3200/16
Invoke	0.278	0.345	0.365	0.418	0.437	0.591
UserSelectsRandomLOB	0.376	0.440	0.465	0.498	0.547	0.720
UserVisitsPublicPages1	0.419	0.490	0.571	0.527	0.631	0.813
UserNavigatesRandomlyinPageHierarchy	0.455	0.489	0.577	0.560	0.623	0.838
UserSearchesForDocument	0.481	0.553	0.553	0.610	0.666	0.843

User Flow #3: Secure Content Access

The following table lists the average server response time in seconds for operations carried out in User flow 3.

Concurrent Users/Managed Servers	200/1	400/2	800/4	1600/8	2400/12	3200/16
InvokeURL	0.282	0.356	0.339	0.395	0.430	0.604
LoginPage	0.181	0.221	0.348	0.307	0.345	0.427
DoLogin	0.291	0.490	0.495	0.574	0.661	0.678
GoToRandomLOB	0.359	0.441	0.443	0.502	0.500	0.715
PublicPage1	0.397	0.477	0.527	0.565	0.608	0.777
PublicPage2	0.432	0.554	0.589	0.571	0.604	0.784
GoToUserLOB	0.457	0.521	0.496	0.596	0.641	0.797
EmployeePage1	0.477	0.514	0.646	0.577	0.680	0.797
EmployeePage2	0.448	0.543	0.563	0.606	0.697	0.857
GotoUserLOB	0.365	0.478	0.435	0.510	0.530	0.665
ManagerPage	0.353	0.408	0.423	0.489	0.472	0.648
Logout	0.538	0.628	0.679	0.850	0.859	1.125

User Flow #4: Administrator Content Access

The following table lists the average server response time in seconds for operations carried out in User flow 4.

Concurrent Users/Managed Servers	200/1	400/2	800/4	1600/8	2400/12	3200/16
InvokeURL	0.270	0.316	0.297	0.420	0.449	0.476
LoginPage	0.196	0.221	0.203	0.343	0.260	0.514
DoLogin	0.337	0.464	0.597	0.607	0.673	0.958
GoToRandomLOB	0.393	0.466	0.441	0.503	0.576	0.624
PublicPage1	0.414	0.496	0.634	0.574	0.618	0.856
PublicPage2	0.442	0.500	0.573	0.559	0.704	0.789
GoToUserLOB	0.537	0.473	0.598	0.555	0.608	0.932
EmployeePage1	0.492	0.577	0.670	0.651	0.707	0.751
EmployeePage2	0.501	0.549	0.701	0.602	0.662	0.852
GoToUserLOBHome	0.408	0.480	0.494	0.534	0.517	0.782
General Edit Page	0.328	0.307	0.361	0.512	0.394	0.552
EditPortal	0.953	0.971	1.031	1.065	1.223	1.493
NewPageLink	0.431	0.486	0.520	0.606	0.526	0.530
UseBlankTemplate	0.369	0.367	0.357	0.504	0.461	0.549
ClickCreateButton	1.357	1.533	1.684	1.872	2.141	2.477
EditPage	0.574	0.610	0.590	0.680	0.840	1.002
OpenContentManagement	0.337	0.384	0.346	0.431	0.422	0.578
AddContentPresenter	0.735	0.761	0.819	0.894	1.031	1.315
EditContentPresenter	0.511	0.492	0.509	0.590	0.634	0.741
ContentTab	0.340	0.325	0.352	0.364	0.431	0.500
Browse	0.445	0.440	0.814	0.506	0.527	0.720
GoUpOneLevel	0.412	0.551	0.682	0.511	0.509	0.725
Openmoc1	0.715	0.937	1.291	0.832	1.139	1.240
OpenImages	0.770	0.865	1.092	1.031	1.038	1.485
ClickImage	0.606	0.724	0.714	0.782	0.738	1.114
SelectImage	0.546	0.616	0.605	0.716	0.783	0.992
SaveContent	0.829	1.161	1.085	1.116	1.072	1.368
SavePage	0.712	0.831	0.890	1.018	0.943	1.251
Drafts page	0.598	0.866	0.902	0.721	1.017	1.177
Select page	0.148	0.170	0.283	0.258	0.313	0.359
Publish page	0.150	0.180	0.218	0.310	0.326	0.371
Confirm Publish	0.522	0.501	0.447	0.691	0.717	0.932
Documents Page	4.482	0.922	1.388	1.060	1.143	1.717
Click Upload	0.389	0.756	0.884	0.736	0.776	0.928
Select File Browse Document	0.225	0.343	0.480	0.414	0.554	0.532
UploadDoc	1.012	1.166	1.424	1.328	1.260	1.567
DownloadDoc	0.259	0.261	0.660	0.534	0.484	0.532
Logout	0.496	0.576	0.647	0.806	0.686	1.272

Sizing Guide

Our performance testing resulted in the following sizing guidance. Note that this is to be considered as a guide only and will differ from use case to use case. These numbers and calculations are extrapolated based on executing the use case we have described for the 12.2.1.0 release of WebCenter Portal and a think time of 30 seconds for 2400 concurrent users.

Sizing Requirement	Parameter Name	Sizing Numbers
Portal TPS / core	PortalTPSPerCore	2.23 (TPS/core)
Concurrent Users / core	UsersPerCore	100 (Users/core)
Memory (GB) / core	MemoryPerCore	9.25 (GB/core)
Corresponding DB cores	DBCORERatio	0.02 core for DB / portal core
Corresponding SES cores	SESCoreRatio	0.02 core for SES / portal core
Other components cores	CompCoreRatio	0.02 core for other components / portal core

Example - 10,000 concurrent users for content scenario with 20% peak usage.

The following table illustrates a user population of 100K. Assumption is 10% of users are active and 20% of peak usage.

Sizing Requirement	Calculation Formula	Sizing Numbers
WC Cores	Number of Concurrent Users Per Core/Users Per Core	120 cores
WC Machines	Each machine has 24 cores	8 machines
Memory(GB/machine)	WCCores*MemoryPerCore / machines	148 GB
DB cores	WCCores*DBCORERatio	2 cores
SES cores	WCCores*SESCoreRatio	2 cores
Component cores	WCCores*CompCoreRatio	2.7 cores

Conclusion

The results indicate:

- The TPS per core improved more than 38% and 47% in Oracle WebCenter Portal 12.2.1.0 compared to Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.9.0 release and Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.8.0 release respectively.
- The ART improved over 26% in Oracle WebCenter Portal 12.2.1.0 compared to Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.9.0 release to 0.63 sec from 0.85 sec for 1600 users. The ART in Oracle WebCenter Portal 11.1.1.9.0 release itself had improved over 60% compared to 11.1.1.8.0 release, hence indicating significant performance gains over 11.1.1.8.0 release as well.
- The number of concurrent users also increased to 3200 users.
- The TPS/Hits per seconds show a linear pattern with the proportional users volume.
- The number of managed servers required to maintain an average response time scales up as the number of users scales up.
- The average response time is below 1 sec even when the system is loaded with 100K documents.



Scalability and Performance

Oracle WebCenter® Portal
January 2016
Author: Vihang Pathak

Contributing Author: Shaun Lin, Anupkumar Mahale

Oracle Corporation
World Headquarters
500 Oracle Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
U.S.A.

Worldwide Inquiries:
Phone: +1.650.506.7000
Fax: +1.650.506.7200

oracle.com



Oracle is committed to developing practices and products that help protect the environment

Copyright © 2013, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

This document is provided for information purposes only, and the contents hereof are subject to change without notice. This document is not warranted to be error-free, nor subject to any other warranties or conditions, whether expressed orally or implied in law, including implied warranties and conditions of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. We specifically disclaim any liability with respect to this document, and no contractual obligations are formed either directly or indirectly by this document. This document may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, for any purpose, without our prior written permission.

Oracle and Java are registered trademarks of Oracle and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Intel and Intel Xeon are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation. All SPARC trademarks are used under license and are trademarks or registered trademarks of SPARC International, Inc. AMD, Opteron, the AMD logo, and the AMD Opteron logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Advanced Micro Devices. UNIX is a registered trademark of The Open Group. 0113

Hardware and Software, Engineered to Work Together